

NOV 03 2004

**Shook,
Hardy &
Bacon** LLP
www.shb.com**TO**
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,
UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE**PHONE****FAX**

(703) 872-9306

William P. Jensen

FROM
WILLIAM P. JENSEN**IT #**
2077**MATTER #**
HESI.110405JPMorgan Chase Tower
600 Travis Street, Suite 1600
Houston
Texas 77002-2911
713.227.8008
713.227.9508 Fax
wjensen@shb.com

7 Pages Transmitted

DATE

NOVEMBER 3, 2004

Original Document Will Not Follow

If you experience any problems, please call 713.227.8008 extension _____

COMMENTS:

fax

Geneva
Houston
Kansas City
London
Miami
Orange County
Overland Park
San Francisco
Tampa
Washington, D.C.

Confidentiality Notice: The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

NOV 03 2004

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S):	JACK LEES AND TATUM M. SHEFFIELD	§ ATTORNEY DOCKET § NUMBER:	HESI.110405
SERIAL NUMBER:	10/692,908	§ § ART UNIT:	2623
FILING DATE:	OCTOBER 24, 2003	§ §	
FOR:	SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ANALYZING AND IMAGING AN ENHANCED THREE-DIMENSIONAL VOLUME DATA SET USING ONE OR MORE ATTRIBUTES	§ CONF. NO.: § § EXAMINER:	9891 SAMIR ANWAR AHMED (703) 305-9870

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION
DATED SEPTEMBER 27, 2004

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants respectfully submit this response, which is responsive to the Examiner's Office Action dated September 27, 2004.

RESPONSE

The Examiner rejected pending claims 1-19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting. The Examiner suggested a "timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground" Accordingly, Applicants submit the enclosed terminal disclaimer pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) and a copy of the 37 C.F.R. 3.73(b) Statement filed in the present Application on October 24, 2003. Based

178734v1